



UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/503,421	02/14/00	SCHWAEBLE		W	3523 P 004
-		Libado do Alor	\neg		EXAMINER
MONTOUE A	MODNEALL T CO	HM22/0425		ROMEO	۳۰
MONIQUE A MORNEAULT ESQ WALLENSTEIN & WAGNER LTD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
53RD FLOOR	WACKER DRIVE	-		1647	
-CHICAGO-IL-	60605-6604			DATE MAILED:	04/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/503,421

.cant(s)

Schwaeble

Office Action Sumi	nary
--------------------	------

Examiner

-Group-Art-Unit--**David Romeo**

1647

■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Feb 2000	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extend 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
∑ Claims <u>1-10</u>	
	are subject to restriction of election requirement.
Application Papers	dae Bartary BTO 040
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Lapproved Ldisapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	s of the priority documents have been
□ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	
☐ received in this national stage application from t	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. 3 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- 	.948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
2 Focus of informal Fatoric Application, 1 10-102	•
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3, 9, to the extent that they are drawn to a cC1qR binding domain, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 4-9, to the extent that they are drawn to an indeterminate inhibitor of acC1qR binding domain, indeterminate class, indeterminate subclass.
 - III. Claim 10, to the extent that it is drawn to a method of treatment using a cC1qR binding domain, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
 - IV. Claim 10, to the extent that it is drawn to method of treatment using an indeterminate inhibitor of a cC1qR binding domain, indeterminate class, indeterminate subclass.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The following pairwise combinations of products are independent and distinct, wherein neither member of a pair is required for the production or use of the other, and wherein each of the pair can be manufactured independently of the other and used for independent and distinct purposes: I and II.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Application/Control Number: 09503421

Page_3_

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case I could be used as an antigen in its own right, or in assays for the determination of agonists and antagonists thereto.

The following pairwise combinations of products and methods are independent and distinct, wherein the respective products may neither be produced by, nor used in the respective methods: I and IV; II and III.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case II could be used as an antigen in its own right, or in assays for the determination of agonists and antagonists thereto.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different and/or indeterminate classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09503421

Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species listed in claim 6, 7, 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: SEQ ID NO: 1, 2, or 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control-Number: 09503421 ____

Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

5

15

Response to Preliminary Amendment

- 9. The preliminary amendment to the specification filed concurrently with the instant application has been entered.
- 10. The preliminary amendment to the claims filed concurrently with the instant application
 10 has not been entered, because the amendment requests the addition of more than five words in at
 least one claim. See 37 CFR 1.121(a)(2)(i) below:
 - (i) Instructions for insertions and deletions: A claim may be amended by specifying only the exact matter to be deleted or inserted by an amendment and the precise point where the deletion or insertion is to be made, where the changes are limited to:
 - (A) Deletions and/or
 - (B) The addition of no more than five (5) words in any one claim; or

The interlineations or cancellations made in the amendments to the claims could lead to confusion and mistake during the issue and printing processes. The number or nature of the claim

Art Unit: 1647

amendments renders it difficult to consider the application. Accordingly, the claims are required to be rewritten before examination. See 37 CFR 1.125 and MPEP § 608.01(q).

ALL AMENDMENTS FILED MARCH 1, 2001 (OR LATER) MUST COMPLY

WITH REVISED 37 CFR 1.121.

Applicants should refer to the rule package published in the Federal Register on September 8, 2000, 65 Fed. Reg. 54603 (September 8, 2000), and the Official Gazette on September 19, 2000, 1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September 19, 2000) for changes in the manner and process of making amendments.

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-4050. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 6:45 A.M. TO 3:15 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

OFFICIAL PAPERS FILED BY FAX SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO (703) 308-4242.

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294.

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING
SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

DAVID RÓMEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1647

APRIL 24, 2001

20

5

10

15